

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/764,758	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HUGHES, TERENCE CHARLES	
	<b>Examiner</b> Joseph D. Anthony	<b>Art Unit</b> 1714	

**All Participants:**

(1) Joseph D. Anthony.

(2) Andrew N. Parfomak.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 June 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner was granted permission to write an examiner's amendment to put the application in condition for allowance. The examiner also requested that applicant fax him a copy of the foreign priority document since no such document can be found in e-Dan. .